Abstract

Essential oils are plant derived substances that have been shown to have antimicrobial activity. However, limited evidence of activity against oral bacteria is available. Objective: The present study was designed to ascertain if a composite formulation of three essential oils had antimicrobial activity against a panel of Gram positive and Gram negative oral bacteria. ORA MD is a commercially available composite of peppermint, spearmint, and almond oils and has been reported to be effective in the treatment of periodontal infection and inflammation. However, no objective studies are available to support these clinical observations. Methods: The antibacterial activity of the essential oils was assessed in triplicate against a panel of early, intermediate, and late plaque colonizers including S. sanguis, S. oralis, S. gordonii, A. actinomycetemcomitans, P. gingivalis strains 381 and W83, and S. aureus as a non-oral control. A spectrophotometric assessment of inhibition of planktonic growth and a growth inhibition zone assay on agar plates were used for each species and strain. Results: The composite of essential oils differentially inhibited the growth of all species and strains tested using either the spectrophotometric assay at 2µL essential oils/ml media or the plate assay at 1µl/mm of filter paper disc. The essential oils were more effective against the Gram negative species and strains than against the Gram positive species and least effective against S. aureus. Conclusions: The composite mixture of peppermint, spearmint, and almond oils has effective antibacterial activity against Gram positive and Gram negative oral bacteria although appears to be more effective against Gram negative species. This suggests that the beneficial clinical effects in reducing periodontal inflammation may be due to the antibacterial effects of the oils. Further studies are needed to elucidate the relative antibacterial activities of each oil independently.

Materials & Methods

Bacterial Strains and Cultures

Bacterial strains consisted of Staphylococcus aureus, subsp. aureus 25923, Streptococcus sanguis 10556, Streptococcus oralis 10538, Streptococcus gordonii 10557, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, JP2, Porphyromonas gingivalis 25886, Actinomyces naeslundii 49340, and Parvophyromonas gingivalis 381 and W83.

Planktonic bacterial culture. Bacteria stocks were preserved at 80°C, thawed to room temperature, and spread onto blood agar plates. Plates were maintained under anaerobic conditions (5% Ne, 5% CO2, and 10% H2) at 37°C. Each bacterial isolate was transferred into Bacto® Brain Heart Infusion (BHI), with additional supplements hemin (5µg/ml) and Menadione-1 µg/ml, and sub-cultured biweekly under the same anaerobic conditions.

Agar plate culture. Remel® CDC Formulation Blood Agar plates were spread with a 100 µL suspension of planktonic bacteria adjusted to 1x10^6 cells per mL.

Zone inhibition assay. Inhibition was assayed on agar plates prepared with the above concentration of cells. Plates were allowed to set for two hours at 4 degrees Celsius and after this time period 3 x 7 mm discs of Whatman® filter paper #1 were coated with 7 µL of ORA MD® and placed into each of three distinct zones of the agar plate. After 24 hours and 48 hour period at 37 degrees, Vernier® calipers were used to take 4 measurements of each zone of inhibition. A BioRad light table was used to aid in visualizing zones. This same protocol was used in anaerobic conditions in a controlled atmosphere chamber with a gas composition of 9% carbon dioxide, 10% Hydrogen, and 85% Nitrogen (Purity Plus, Lexington, Kentucky).

Planktonic inhibition assay. The effectiveness of ORA MD® was also assessed on the growth curve of a planktonic bacteria. A concentration of 2µL per mL of ORA MD® was added to a starting bacterial suspension which was then measured every hour until the bacteria reached stationary growth phase. Results were compared to an untreated control culture.

Conclusions

The composite mixture of peppermint, spearmint, and almond oils has effective antibacterial activity against Gram positive and Gram negative oral bacteria although appears to be more effective against Gram negative species. This suggests that the beneficial clinical effects in reducing periodontal inflammation may be due to the antibacterial effects of the oils. Further studies are needed to elucidate the relative antibacterial activities of each oil.

Results

Figure 1: Gram (+) Panel Bacteria Growth Curves Treated versus Control

Figure 2: Gram (-) Panel Bacteria Growth Curves Treated versus Control

Figure 3: Gram Negative Panel Bacteria Comparison

Figure 4: Gram Positive Panel Bacteria Comparison
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Figure 1 shows the growth curves of all Gram +ve bacteria from our sample panel. This graph displays the growth measured incrementally for seven hours by 600 nm light. Control bacteria cultures were untreated in BHI Media. Treated bacterial samples were tested in the same manner with testing running in parallel with control samples. Mean ± standard deviations of triplicate determinations are given. Treated samples were blanked using a standard curve of ORA MD® in BHI media.

Figure 2 displays the growth curves of all Gram -ve bacteria from our sample panel. This graph displays the growth measured incrementally by 600 nm light. Samples were run for varying amounts of time depending on their growth cycles. Control bacteria cultures were untreated in BHI Media. Treated and control bacterial samples were tested in triplicate and expressed as Mean ± standard deviations. Treated samples were blanked using a standard curve of ORA MD® in BHI media.

Figure 3 shows trend line analysis of each treated and untreated Gram negative bacterial growth curve. Trend lines were created using exponential regression analysis for control bacteria and linear regression analysis for treated samples. The figure also shows the length of time that each treatment was run and determined to be effective for treated samples.

Figure 4 shows trend line analysis of each treated and untreated Gram positive bacterial growth curve. Trend lines were created using exponential regression analysis for control bacteria and linear regression analysis for treated samples.